

QUESTIONNAIRE MANUAL

MODULE I. LAST EU MIGRATION AND RETURN

Respondents:

RETs only

This module has no trajectories. The aim of the module is to collect information on R's:

- Last stay in LDC
 - Documents
 - Access to welfare state
 - Social ties with CoS
- Last return to CoS
 - Decision's context: voluntariness, preparation, use of return programs
 - Assessment of the experience: assistance received, impact on others
 - Plans after return
 - Social ties with LDC

**** LAST MIGRATION: DOCUMENTS ****

11. Documents at arrival

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- <u>Respondent</u>: those who did not have nationality of LDC at the time of last migration- <u>Q Type & Input</u>: Read and check options one by one (all that apply).<ul style="list-style-type: none">• It is very important to read and confirm all the categories with R.• DO NOT READ: 6. NONE OF THESE . If none of the categories are picked, probe in: "Did you (not) require a visa to enter LDC/the EU at the time?". If visa not required, pick VISA-FREE. Otherwise, pick IRREGULAR/ ASYLUM SEEKER• Some combinations of answers will not be allowed by the application:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Check either A VISA OF 3 MONTHS or A VISA FOR MORE THAN 3 MONTHS○ Check either NONE OF THESE (VISA FREE) or NONE OF THESE (IRREGULAR)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- This question refers only to the documents held at the moment of entering LDC (i.e. when crossing the border). Interviewers must ask about ALL the documents R had with him/herself.<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sometimes people travel with fake or someone else's documents. We do not care about these situation, we do not need to ask or inquire about; we won't exclude documents for this reason. Interviewers have to list all the types of documents the person carried in that moment, and that's it.• If R obtained some documents later on (e.g. family visa or residence permit) this will be noted later on

The categories explained:

- 3. PASSPORT OF ANOTHER EU COUNTRY. In this particular case we are referring to countries that were EU members at the time of the migration. We can't expect R to know which countries were EU members at one specific year, but those who entered the LDC as EU citizens will most likely know. **If R doubts, ask the nationality of the passport and check the list of EU members in the help "?"**
- 4. VISA OF 3 MONTHS OR LESS.
- 5. VISA OR RESIDENCE PERMIT FOR MORE THAN 3 MONTHS.
 - The duration refers to the **original** document, not to the duration left when crossing the border.
 - Ex. Ana obtained a residence permit for Spain that was granted in 2008 for 2 years. After that, she returned to Argentina, stayed for a few months, and came back to Spain in mid-2009. At that moment, the remaining duration of the permit is only 1 year. We are not asking about this remaining duration, but about the original duration = 2 YEARS
 - If the visa / residence permit is a **renewed** one (not the first one obtained in LDC), refer to the duration of the renewal
 - Ex. Ana obtained a first residence permit in 2007. The permit she obtained in 2008 was a renewal of that one. We are not asking about the 2007 permit but about the current one (from 2008).
 - This visa might be for another EU country different from LDC.
- 6/7. NONE OF THESE. These two options are to be picked **only when no other option has been picked**. And interviewers must then **probe**: "Did you (not) require a visa to enter LDC/the EU at the time?"
 - If required = 6. (NOT NEEDED, VISA FREE)
 - If not required = 7. (IRREGULAR OR ASYLUM SEEKER)

▪ **12, 15, 110 & 114. Grounds for permit/visa at arrival**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- <u>Respondent</u>: 12 / 15 For those who entered with visa (short or long) 110 / 114 For those who obtained documents to stay longer than 3 months in the country afterwards- <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous (read if R doubts) and pick from list <p><i>IMPORTANT: interviewers to learn well and practice the list. To facilitate this, the list is organized into 5 broad categories, with sub-categories (the device will show both)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The question refers to the official (formal, legal) grounds on which the document was issued. This can frequently differ from the actual reason for migration
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- WORK. The three first categories are relatively exceptional. If R does not mention any of these, the correct answer will be = OTHER WORK<ul style="list-style-type: none">a) SEASONAL. These are visas granted under seasonal work programs, where migrants are expected to stay for a few months in the country of destination to	

<p>work on some seasonal activity (e.g. agriculture, tourism) and then return to their countries of origin or leave. Frequently, these programs facilitate or imply a repetition of this cycle.</p> <p>b) BUSINESS. These are visas granted to businessmen and people in general with the aim to carry out some business or self-employment activity, for which they have to demonstrate they have sufficient means and preparations</p> <p>c) RESEARCH/ACADEMIC. These are visas granted to professors and researchers for them to carry out that their activity, for which they have to demonstrate their status as scholars/researches, and frequently provide an invitation by some research institution in the country of destination.</p> <p>- FAMILY.</p> <p>a) The most common visas of this type are visas granted for family REUNIFICATION which implies that the family ties (e.g. marriage) are already in place, and the family member (usually spouse, child or parent) is just to be reunited.</p> <p>b) In some occasions visas are granted for family FORMATION. These are given with the purpose of allowing a person to reunite with another one so that they can form a family (i.e. marriage)</p> <p>c) If other family-related grounds are mentioned, pick OTHER</p> <p>- STUDIES. These are visas granted to students and people in general for the purpose of carrying out studies in the country of destination. This includes most frequently formal studies (i.e. primary, secondary, vocational, college, Master) but also sometimes language courses and other specialization courses outside the official curricula.</p>
--

▪ 13, 18, 111 & 115. Initial duration of permit/long term visa

Mechanics	Clarifications
<p>- <u>Respondent:</u> 13 / 18 For those who entered with long-term visa/permit 111 / 115 For those who obtained documents to stay longer than 3 months in the country afterwards</p> <p>- <u>Question Type:</u> Spontaneous (read if R doubts)</p> <p>- <u>Input:</u> pick from list</p>	<p>- 13/18: if the visa / residence permit is a renewed one (not the first one obtained in LDC), refer to the duration of the renewed visa / residence permit.</p>

▪ 14, 16, 112 & 116. Whether authorized to work

Mechanics	Clarifications
<p>- <u>Respondent:</u> 14 / 16 For those who entered with long or short-term visa/permit other than WORK 12 / 116 For those who obtained documents to stay longer than 3 months in the country afterwards</p> <p>- <u>Question Type:</u> Spontaneous answer</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If the answer is simply "Yes", probe: "With any restrictions on where you could work?"	

- <u>Input</u> : pick from list	
---------------------------------	--

▪ **[NOT SN] I4a, I6a. Whether work authorization with restrictions**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: For those who entered with short- or long-term visa on grounds of WORK - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	

▪ **17, 19 & I13. Obtained documents allowing stay for more than 3 months [UA: 2-month]**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: 17 For those who entered with short term visa 19, I13 For those who entered without visa (visa free) or without documents (irregular) - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	

▪ **I17. Ever without work authorization**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: ALL who did not have a passport from LDC but had a passport from another EU country - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Whether R intended to work or not is irrelevant. The question is referred to the possibility of doing it legally according to the type of visa/permit he/she was granted. - 3. NO, I DID NOT NEED ONE TO WORK = R did not require a work authorization to work legally (regardless of whether R intended to work or not)

▪ **I17a. For how long without work authorization**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondent: ALL who ever experienced a period without work authorisation in LDC during last stay - Question Type: Spontaneous answer - Input: Manually enter answer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If => 1 year = NR YEARS b) If < 1 year = NR MONTHS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Referred only to last stay in LDC

▪ **I18. Ever without a residence permit (I) in last stay in LDC**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: ALL who did not have a passport from EU at the time of last migration to LDC (or did not specify any 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excluding periods covered by short-term visas - This would include situations of expired

<p>documents at arrival)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	<p>and not renewed documents (not only irregular status because of irregular entry)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Referred only to last stay in LDC
--	--

▪ **118a. For how long without a residence permit**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: ALL who ever were without proper residence permit in LDC during last stay - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: Manually enter answer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If => 1 year = NR YEARS b) If < 1 year = NR MONTHS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Referred only to last stay in LDC

▪ **119. Document allowing re-entry in LDC when left**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondent: ALL who did not have EU nationality at the time of last return from LDC - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer (read if R doubts) - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. PERMANENT RESIDENCE PERMIT. These are permits that grant an indefinite right to stay, or grant a long-term right of residence with highly facilitated (almost guaranteed) renewals. - 2. VISA OF 3 MONTHS OR LESS. this visa might be for another EU country different from LDC Within the Schengen space - 3. VISA OR RESIDENCE PERMIT FOR MORE THAN 3 MONTHS. this visa might be for another EU country (within the Schengen space) different from LDC - 4. MULTI-ENTRY VISA. These are visas that are granted for multiple trips within a given period. The number of visits and the time period for which it is valid are defined in the visa. - 5. RE-ENTRY/RESIDENCE RIGHT ENSURED AFTER A WAITING PERIOD. Any other situations, excluding any of the above, that guarantees the right to re-enter LDC or to reside in there. <i>This excludes LDC/EU nationalities (such cases should not be posed this question).</i> - 6. REFUGEE STATUS OR SIMILAR. e.g. subsidiary protection, humanitarian grounds, etc.

▪ **I20. Whether included work authorization**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: ALL who have documents allowing re-entry in LDC when left - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Whether the respondent intended to work or not is irrelevant. The question is referred to legal possibility to work only - 3. NO, I DID NOT NEED ONE TO WORK = R did not require a work authorization to work legally (regardless of whether the respondent intended to work or not)

** TIES WITH WELFARE STATE IN LDC **

Respondents:

RETs who have worked at some point in LDC (in the last or previous stays)

Notice that in this section, besides paying attention to the last stay in LDC, we also inquire about previous stays in LDC (in case there have been previous stays).

▪ **I21. Contributions to social security during last migration / previous LDC stays**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: those who have worked in LDC (in last or in previous stays) - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How to categorize? The situations we are likely to find in the LDCs considered are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) People working as employees without formal contract. Without a formal contract there cannot be contributions = NO → BUT R might have found alternative ways to make his/her own contributions social security (e.g. with a false formal contract) = YES b) People working as employees with formal contract. Contributions to the social security are usually paid by both employers (usually a larger share) and employees. R might be unsure because of a lack of knowledge (how the system works) and invisibility of these issues (usually employers directly deal with these issues and employees do not get to know). But if the respondent has had one or more formal contracts and has no indication of employers' lack of payment = YES → BUT if R states that the employer did not meet the obligation to contribute (despite having a formal contract) = NO / NO c) People working as self-employed. Only possible to have made contributions directly by R

▪ **I22. Whether has transferred acquired rights**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Respondent: For those who have worked in LDC (in last or in previous stays) AND contributed to Social Security (themselves and/or their employers)- Question Type: Spontaneous answer- Input: pick from list	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- If R has transferred/tried to transfer more than one, with different results, refer to the one with best outcome (this is exactly the order of response categories: the higher the better the option is): 1 > 2 > 3 > 4 > 5 <p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- 5. NO, I HAD NOTHING TO TRANSFER. This refers to the inexistence of rights/entitlements that R might transfer.- 6. NO, I HAD NO RIGHT TO TRANSFER. This refers to the absence of a right to transfer any existing entitlements.

▪ **I23. Benefits or subsidies received during last migration & previous stays**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Respondent: ALL- Question Type & Input: Read each category with the examples, one by one, and pick Y/N answer	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS. These can be sometimes cash out as part of return programs. This would also qualify = YES- OTHER = e.g. widow or disability pensions

** LAST MIGRATION: SOCIAL TIES WITH ORIGIN **

<p>Respondents: RETs</p>
--

▪ **I24. Whether received financial support from family in CoS**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Respondents: all RETs- Question Type: Read options- Input: pick from short list<ul style="list-style-type: none">• If the answer is simply "Yes", probe: "Was this occasionally or somewhat regularly?"	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- During last migration / last stay in LDC only- 1. YES, REGULARLY = regularity does not require a high frequency, it could mean for instance receiving some amount once a year. But if frequency is

	<p>lower than once a year, code rather as “occasionally”.</p> <p>- 2. YES, OCCASIONALLY (NOT REGULARLY) = this means there is no regularity. For instance, if sending “when there was some specific need”, “when asked”, “just when I had enough money”. It could still happen with relative frequency.</p> <p>How to categorize?</p> <p>- If R was helped with regularity during a certain period only, e.g. during the first year = 2. YES, OCCASIONALLY</p>
--	--

▪ **[NOT SN] I25. Number of visits to CoS**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: all RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer, but read options if in doubt - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - During last stay in LDC only

▪ **I26. How frequently in contact with family members or friends in CoS during last stay**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: all RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - During last stay in LDC only <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If last stay ≤ 1 year: referred to last stay b) If last stay > 1 year: referred to last 12 months during your last stay in LDC

▪ **I27. Whether sent remittances**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: RETs sending regularly during last stay / last year in LDC - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick from list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the answer is simply “Yes”, probe: “Was this occasionally or somewhat regularly?” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last 12 months of last stay in LDC - 1. YES, REGULARLY = regularity does not require a high frequency (e.g. every month), it could mean for instance sending some amount once or twice a year. There is regularity as long as there as money is sent regularly. - 2. YES, OCCASIONALLY (NOT REGULARLY) = this means there is no regularity. For instance, if sending “when there was some specific need”, “when asked”, “just when I had enough money”. It could still happen with relative frequency.

▪ **I28. How frequently**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: those who sent/bring money regularly - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last 12 months of last stay in LDC

▪ **I29. How important were these remittances to recipients**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: those who <i>EVER</i> sent/bring money (regularly or occasionally) - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last 12 months of last stay in LDC - If R was sending to multiple receivers, and the importance of these remittances varied among them, refer to the main recipients; if this is unclear, refer to those for whom it was most important - 77. NOT APPLICABLE (THE MONEY WAS SENT FOR RESPONDENT'S USE ONLY). E.g. money deposited in personal account; or used to buy a house/furniture/etc. for the respondent, etc... This means there were no receivers (except maybe someone in charge of dealing with the practical details)

▪ **I29a. Whether sent before**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs whose last stay in LDC lasted > 1 year - <u>Q Type</u>: Spontaneous answer. - <u>Input</u>: pick from list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the answer is simply "Yes", probe: "Was this occasionally or somewhat regularly?" 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. YES, REGULARLY = regularity does not require a high frequency, it could mean for instance sending some amount once a year. But if frequency is lower than once a year, code rather as "occasionally". - 2. YES, OCCASIONALLY (NOT REGULARLY) = this means there is no regularity. For instance, if sending "when there was some specific need", "when asked", "just when I had enough money". It could still happen with relative frequency. <p>How to categorize?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If R sent with regularity during a certain period only, e.g. during the first year = 2. YES, OCCASIONALLY

**** 1st EU and 1st LDC MIGRATIONS: DOCUMENTS ******Respondents:****Returned migrants who had multiple stays in EU countries**

▪ **I30, I31, I32 & I33. Ever without a residence permit (II) in 1st LDC / 1st EU migration**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs with multiple stays in EU countries <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I30 First stay was in LDC I31 First stay was not in LDC, and only one stay in LDC I32, I33 First stay was not in LDC and multiple stays in LDC - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excluding periods covered by short-term visas - This would include situations of expired and not renewed documents (not only irregular status because of irregular entry) - Referred only to 1st stay in LDC and/or 1st stay in EU (if the country was other than LDC)

**** LAST RETURN: VOLUNTARINESS & PREPAREDNESS ******Respondents:****RETs**

The reintegration process after returning to CoS is probably affected by how prepared and willing the person was to return at the moment and manner in which return happened: on the one extreme is the case of a person who wants to return and takes time to make all preparations he/she considers necessary or convenient; on the other extreme is the case of a person who does not want to return and who gets forcibly expelled by the authorities without any time or opportunity to make any preparations either in the host country or in CoS. In this subsection we aim at capturing these differences by paying attention to different return scenarios.

▪ **I34. Voluntariness of last return**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick from short list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS)

▪ **I35. Return scenarios: circumstance pushing for return**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type & Input</u>: Read options one by one & check those that apply (multiple answer). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do NOT read: OTHER. Pick if other reasons mentioned, then type manually the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - This question inquires about circumstances that "pushed" the respondent to return (regardless of whether he/she wanted to return or not)

literal answer	
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. DEPORTED / EXPELLED. This implies action by the authorities to remove the person <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If R had an order of expulsion, but just left LDC on his/her own volition, without being actually deported, leave it up to R to decide whether to check: 1. DEPORTED or 2. HAD TO FOR OTHER REASONS, or 5. OTHER and explain - 2. HAD TO RETURN FOR OTHER REASONS. Practical circumstances that significantly alter the costs and benefits of staying versus returning. For instance, financial difficulties in LDC, an expired permit or expulsion order, an expiring job opportunity in CoS, etc. - 3. FELT COMPELLED TO RETURN. Regardless of whether there is a forced return or pressing circumstances, and even in their absence, persons still may feel “pushed” to return by moral, social or emotional issues. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) E.g1. to meet family expectations b) E.g2. to attain family reunification because other family members won’t migrate c) E.g3. “nobody forced me, and I did want to return, but I also felt a moral obligation to go back and take care of my parents” - 4. THE PURPOSE OF MY TRIP WAS COMPLETE. Sometimes the return is perceived as a natural or even programmed endpoint of the migration. So once the objectives of the migration have been achieved (whichever they were), and if circumstances haven’t changed, return is simply perceived as the normal course of events. 	

▪ **I36. Preparedness of last return**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick from list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If R simply answers “Yes”, probe: pick 2.YES, I HAD TIME 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - 1. YES, I HAD TIME (BECAUSE RETURN WAS PLANNED). Sometimes the return is programmed as endpoint of the migration: ex. Students who migrate to take a course abroad, and then expect to return. In many such cases, preparations for return are already made in advance (before or during the migration). - 2. YES, I HAD TIME. If the circumstances above are not mentioned, and the answer is simply “yes”, pick this option

▪ **[NOT SN] I37 & I37a. Preparations for last return**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<p>STEP 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs who had time to prepare return 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Referred to last return from LDC to CoS

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Q Type and Input: Read options one by one with examples, and check those that apply (multiple answer) <p>STEP 2 For every option picked, the application will open a new screen:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondent: RETs who specified some preparations - Q Type: Spontaneous answer - Input: pick as many as mentioned from list of sub-categories (multiple answer). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It includes preparations during last migration and before that
--	--

** LAST RETURN: RETURN PROGRAMS **

<p>Respondents: RETs</p>
--

▪ **I38. Whether in a return program**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondent: RETs - Question Type: Spontaneous answer - Input: pick Y/N answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - For last return (from LDC to CoS)

▪ **[NOT SN] I41. Type of support in the return program**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondents: RETs who participated in return program - Question Type: Spontaneous answer - Input: pick all the types mentioned (multiple answer) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS)

▪ **I42. Whether applied to any return program**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondents: RETs did NOT participate in return program - Question Type: Spontaneous answer - Input: pick Y/N answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS)

▪ **I43. Why did not benefit from it**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondents: RETs who did NOT participate in return program but DID apply - Question Type: Spontaneous answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - 3. I DID NOT QUALIFY = includes any exclusion criteria (e.g. age, migrant status,

- <u>Input</u> : pick all reasons mentioned (multiple answer)	nationality, family status, labour status, income level, etc.)
--	--

▪ **I44. Why did not apply**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: RETs who did not apply for any Return Program - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick all reasons mentioned (multiple answer) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - 3. I DID NOT QUALIFY = includes any exclusion criteria (e.g. age, migrant status, nationality, family status, labour status, income level, etc.)

** LAST RETURN: OTHER ASSISTANCE FOR RETURN **

<p>Respondents:</p> <p>Returned migrants</p>
--

In this sub-section we aim at assessing how much support R considers he/she had for his/her return and reintegration process from family and friends when R last returned to CoS.

We reckon **different types of support**:

- A. **Financial assistance** = when a person receives monetary support (in cash or through whichever other means)
- B. **Other types of practical assistance** = any other forms of practical assistance, excluding financial one, including:
 - a) Directly providing for needs, such as accommodation
 - b) Helping/facilitating solutions to cover needs, for instance, mediating in the search for jobs or accommodation
 - c) Providing valuable information that assists the person in finding his/her own solutions for needs, for instance, informing about places and manners to look for a job, or about administrative procedures
- C. **Emotional support** = regardless of whether financial or practical assistance is provided, family and friends also can provide emotional support, so that returned migrants feel welcomed back and accompanied in their reintegration process, rather than estranged or isolated.

▪ **I45. Financial support for return from family members or friends**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - This includes the return trip and the initial reintegration process - 3. NO, I DIDN'T NEED IT = only if spontaneously mentioned. Otherwise, pick simply 2. NO

▪ **I46. Other types of assistance for return from family members or friends**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - This includes the return trip and the reintegration process - If the respondent answered positively to I45 but now becomes obvious that he/she meant this type of direct help (rather than financial support), the interviewer will correct I45 (=NO) and pick here = YES - 3. NO, I DIDN'T NEED IT = only if spontaneously mentioned. Otherwise, pick simply 2. NO

▪ **I47. Who provided this assistance**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: RETs who obtained assistance (financial or practical) from family - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick as many as apply (multiple answer) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - This refers to both financial and other types of practical assistance, in case both have been mentioned. Or to whichever of the two has been mentioned How to categorize? - Assistance received from family or friends elsewhere (other than CoS or LDC) = OTHER

▪ **I48. Emotional support for return from family members and friends in CoS**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Referred to last return (from LDC to CoS)

** LAST RETURN: IMPACT OF RETURN **

<p><u>Respondents:</u></p> <p>RETs</p>
--

▪ **I49. Household's financial situation when arrived in CoS**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - This question is referred to the household level to take into account any persons that may be sharing housekeeping and budget arrangements. If R lived alone (one-

	<p>person household) and without dependents, the question refers de facto to him/her only</p> <p>HOUSEHOLD = A household is either one person living alone or a group of people, not necessarily related, living at the same address with common housekeeping, i.e. sharing at least one meal per day or sharing a living or sitting room.</p>
--	---

▪ **150. Impact of return on household's financial capacity**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - If R answers "both positive and negative" the interviewer should kindly insist on weighting positive against negative effects (or vice versa) to provide an overall evaluation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) But this combination of effects should NOT be understood as 3. No effect b) Once positive and negative effects have been mentioned, if the respondent can't or refuses to pick between the two, interviewer to pick 99. DON'T KNOW instead

▪ **151. Impact of return on other household capacities**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: For each of the categories shown by the device, pick from list 	<p>Rationale: The capacity to cover these types of needs is the result of both individual factors (e.g. being physically close, having more time, having more income...) and contextual factors (e.g. better schools accessible for them in Senegal than the one they were going to in Italy). The question refers to the ultimate effect of RETURN, regardless of which individual and contextual factors produce this effect</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - If R answers "both positive and negative" the interviewer should kindly insist on weighting positive against negative effects (or vice versa) to provide an overall evaluation = POSITIVE / NEGATIVE → if the respondent can't or refuses to pick between the two, interviewer to pick 99. DON'T KNOW

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This combination of effects should NOT be understood as 3. No effect <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 77. NON APPLICABLE when those needs do not exist: e.g. R has no family/relatives, or no one with health issues, etc.
--	---

** LAST RETURN: PLANS AFTER RETURN & CONTACTS WITH LDC**

<p><u>Respondents:</u></p> <p>RETs</p>
--

▪ **152. Plans or goals upon return**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent:</u> RETs - <u>Question Type:</u> spontaneous answer - <u>Input:</u> Manually enter the literal answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last return (from LDC to CoS) - Ex1. WINERY: "I had this idea of opening a winery because I had some experience with business in Senegal before, and with what I learned in France, I thought this could be a great business opportunity. I had already made some preparations, and that was the reason I returned, that I wanted to start this business. I thought I could thrive more with this than with the type of jobs I was getting in France. And up until today I'm not regretting that, things are going quite well" - Ex2. NO PLANS: "I really didn't know, things were just not going very well in Spain, and my family needed me back. So I guess I just decided to come back and see how life goes there" or "I don't know, there were so many things in my mind, and I kept changing my mind all the time, everything was uncertain and confusing" - 99. DON'T KNOW. Do NOT use this option for situations where there was an absence of plans (see above). This is to be picked ONLY when R can't provide an answer: e.g. "I can't really recall what was going through my mind, it was a really long time"

▪ **I53 (RET). How frequently is in contact (currently) with people in LDC**

<i>Mechanics</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs (and NMs somewhere else) - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer, but read options if in doubt - <u>Input</u>: pick from list <p>VARIATION BETWEEN RET AND NM:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RET: referred to LDC • NM: referred to Europe 	-

▪ **[NOT SN] I54. Number of visits (of less 3 months [UA: 2-month]) to LDC after last return**

<i>Mechanics</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs - <u>Question Type</u>: Spontaneous answer (read if R doubts) - <u>Input</u>: pick from list 	- Last return (from LDC to CoS)

▪ **I55 (RET). Household's current financial situation**

<i>Mechanics</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: RETs (and NMs somewhere else) - <u>Question Type</u>: Read options - <u>Input</u>: Pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This question is referred to the household level to take into account any persons that may be sharing housekeeping arrangements and budget. If R lived alone (one-person household) and without dependents, the question refers de facto to him/her only <p>HOUSEHOLD = A household is either one person living alone or a group of people, not necessarily related, living at the same address with common housekeeping, i.e. sharing at least one meal per day or sharing a living or sitting room.</p>